

Chap.5

Control of Robot Manipulators.

5.1 Introduction

How can we control the robot manipulators?

- The control problem consists of
- (1) obtaining dynamic models of the manipulator
- (2) using these models to determine control laws or strategies to achieve the desired system response and performance

If the manipulator dynamics is obtained completely, the control input torque of manipulator is calculated.

=> Computed Torque Technique







The movement of a robot arm



1) Gross motion control A robot arm moves from an initial position/orientation to the vicinity of the desired target position/orientation along a planned trajectory.

2) Fine motion control

The end-effector of the arm dynamically interacts with the object using sensory feedback information to complete the task.

The traditional industrial approaches to robot arm control system design treated each joint of the robot arm as a simple joint servo mechanism.

=> The result reduced servo response speed and damping, limiting the precision and speed of the end-effector and making it appropriate only for limited-precision task.



ROBOT DYNAMICS AND CONTROL SIMULATION

Robot dynamics Simulation: Position / attitude / velocity changes of joints

Robot dynamic equation of motion:

 $H\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q}) + G(q) = \tau(t)$





ROBOT DYNAMICS

AND CONTROL SIMULATION

 Calculation of the trajectory of the robot for a given joint torque τ(t) profile (Forward dynamics)

 $H\ddot{q} = \tau - C(q, \dot{q}) - G(q)$ $\ddot{q} = H^{-1}(\tau - C(q, \dot{q}) - G(q))$

- Perform a numerical integration for differential equations : (Ex.) RK4 Algorithm
- Obtain position trajectory q(t) and velocity trajectory $\dot{q}(t)$
- How generated torque of each joint $\tau(t)$ in the simulation?
 - Method that suggest random function as Torque profile
 - Torque profile used to input to control to tracking a specific trajectory



Pusan National University School of Mechanical Engineering ROBOT DYNAMICS

AND CONTROL SIMULATION

Robot dynamics Simulation: Position / attitude / velocity changes of endeffector

Robot dynamic equation $H\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q}) + G(q) = \tau(t)$

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{q}_{1} \\ \ddot{q}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{q}_{n} \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{RK4}} \begin{cases} \dot{q}_{1}, q_{1} \\ \dot{q}_{2}, q_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{q}_{n}, q_{n} \end{cases} : (\text{Trajectory of Joints} \\ (\text{position & velocity})) \end{cases}$$
$$(\text{position & velocity})$$

1) Position/attitude of End-effector

- Position: (p_x, p_y, p_z)
- Orientation: (ϕ, θ, ψ)

• Position Kinematics

$${}^{0}T_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} n_{x} & o_{x} & a_{x} & p_{x} \\ n_{y} & o_{y} & a_{y} & p_{y} \\ n_{z} & o_{z} & a_{z} & p_{z} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= A_{1}(q_{1})A_{2}(q_{2})A_{3}(q_{3})\cdots A_{n}(q_{n})$$



ROBOT DYNAMICS AND CONTROL SIMULATION

Robot dynamic equation $H\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q}) + G(q) = \tau(t)$ $H\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q}) + G(q) = \tau(t)$ • Velocity kinematics



Pusan National University School of Mechanical Engineering **ROBOT DYNAMICS**

AND CONTROL SIMULATION

Robot Trajectory (Task Space)





Pusan National University School of Mechanical Engineering **ROBOT DYNAMICS**

AND CONTROL SIMULATION

Task space trajectory → Joint space trajectory

- How to find Joint trajectory
- 1) Inverse kinematics:

Figure out the joint angle each sampling time for end-effector's position/appearance trajectory → Input for position command of robot joint position control loop

2) Inverse differential kinematics:

Figure out the angle for end-effector's linear velocity/Orientation rate trajectory →Find out joint angle by an integrating





ROBOT DYNAMICS

AND CONTROL SIMULATION

$$\dot{p} = [J]\dot{q} \rightarrow \dot{q} = [J]^{-1} \dot{p} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{q}_1 \\ \dot{q}_2 \\ \cdots \\ \dot{q}_n \end{cases} = [J]^{-1} \begin{cases} \ddot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{z} \\ \omega_x \\ \omega_y \\ \omega_z \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{q}_{1d} \\ \dot{q}_{2d} \\ \cdots \\ \dot{q}_{nd} \end{cases}$$

• Relationship of the angular velocity $(\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z)$ and RPY rate $(\dot{\phi}, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\psi})$ on the reference coordinate system (x, y, z)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega_x \\ \omega_y \\ \omega_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -s\phi & c\theta c\phi \\ 0 & c\phi & c\theta s\phi \\ 1 & 0 & s\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix}$$



ROBOT DYNAMICS AND CONTROL SIMULATION

Joint Position Control

- Loop configure of position control for each joint
 - Task space trajectory \rightarrow Joint space trajectory \rightarrow desired command of Joint Position control loop
 - Feedback robot dynamics output(joint angle)
 - Calculation joint angle error (e)
 - Calculation input to control by joint control algorithm (ex, PID control)
 - Input to control(u) + External disturbance(d) \rightarrow Torque of joint input



ROBOT DYNAMICS AND CONTROL SIMULATION



- Joint angle error $e(t) = q_d(t) - q(t)$

- Joint velocity error : $\dot{e}(t) = \dot{q}_d(t) - \dot{q}(t)$

- PID control :
$$K(s) = \left(k_p + k_D s + k_I \frac{1}{s}\right)e(s) \rightarrow u(t) = k_p e(t) + k_D \dot{e}(t) + k_I \int e(t)dt$$

- Input torque : $\tau(t) = u(t) + d(t)$

Chapter 5B. Control 11/53



Pusan National University School of Mechanical Engineering **ROBOT DYNAMICS**

AND CONTROL SIMULATION

Independent Joint Control (IJC)

n-dof robot manipulator(multi-loop)





Robot arm control



Figure 5.1 Basic control blocs diagram for robot manipulators



Motion Control is classified into the following three major categories

- 1. Joint motion Controls
- Joint servomechanism
- · Computed torque technique (계산 토크법)
- Minimum time control
- Variable structure control
- 2. Resolved motion control (cartesian space control) (분해 동작제어)
 - Resolved motion rate control.
 - Resolved motion acceleration control.
 - Resolved motion force control.
- 3. Adaptive control.
 - Model-referenced adaptive control.
 - Self-tuning adaptive control.
 - Adaptive perturbation control with feedforward compensation.
 - Resolved motion adaptive control.



5.2 (PUMA) Control of the PUMA robot arm.





- The function of host microprocessor of PUMA robot arm
- 1. Coordinate system transformations(e.g., from world to joint coordinates).
- 2. Joint-interpolated trajectory planning ; this involves sending incremental location updates corresponding to each set point to each joint every 28 ms
- 3. Acknowledging from the micro processors that each axis of motion has completed its required incremental motion.
- 4. Looking ahead two instructions to perform continuous path interpolation if the robot is in a continuous path mode.



The main function of joint controllers (6503 microprocessor)

- 1. Every 28ms, receive and acknowledge trajectory set points from the host computer (LSI-11/02) and perform interpolation between the current joint value and the desired joint value.
- 2. Every 0.875 ms, read the register value which stores the incremental values from the encoder mounted at each axis of rotation.
- 3. Update the error actuating signals derived from the joint-interpolated set points and the values from the axis encoders
- 4. Convert the error actuating signal to current using the DACs, and send the current to the analog servo board which moves the joint.
- PUMA robot control schemes is based on PID control method.
 => Disadvantage is that the feedback gains are constant and pre-specified. It dosen't have the capability updating the feedback gains under varying payloads.



5.3 Computed Torque Technique

- The control problem is to find appropriate torque/forces to servo all the joints of the manipulator in real time in order to track a desired time-based trajectory as closely as possible.
- The drive motor torque required to servo the manipulator is based on a dynamic model of the manipulator which is derived by Lagrange-Euler equation
- => The computed torque is converted to the applied motor voltage and inputted to joint motor to control it.
- Modeling errors and parameter variations in the model are compensated by PD control and the torques computed based on the manipulator model.

=>

But it has limitation in robustness against dynamic model error and parameter uncertainty.



5.3.1 Transfer function of a single joint









$$r_m \theta_m = r_L \theta_L \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{N_m}{N_L} = \frac{\theta_L}{\theta_m} = n < 1 \quad : \text{ gear ratio}$$

$$\therefore \theta_L = n\theta_m, \ \dot{\theta} = n\dot{\theta}_m, \ \ddot{\theta}_L = n\ddot{\theta}_L = n\ddot{\theta}_m$$

torque torque on load Torque frommotor shaft referred to ON the motor shaft motor

$$\tau(t) = \tau_m(t) + \tau_L^*(t)$$







The load torque referred to the load shaft

$$\tau_L(t) = J_L \dot{\theta}_L(t) + f_L \dot{\theta}_L(t)$$
(5.3-9)

• The motor torque referred to the motor shaft

$$\tau_m(t) = J_m \ddot{\theta}_m(t) + f_m \dot{\theta}_m(t)$$
(5.3-10)



 $au_L(heta)$ is converted to the torque to the motor refereed to the motor shaft

From conservation of work, the work done by the load referred to the load shaft is equal to the work done by the load referred to the motor

$$\frac{\text{shaft}}{\tau_L}, \theta_L = \tau_L^* \theta_m \qquad \Longrightarrow \quad \therefore \tau_L^*(t) = \frac{\tau_L \theta_L}{\theta_m} = n \tau_L(t)$$

From (5.3–9)

$$\therefore \tau_{L}(t) = \frac{\tau_{L}^{*}(t)}{n} = nJ_{L}\ddot{\theta}_{m} + nf_{L}\dot{\theta}_{m}$$

$$\therefore \tau_{L}^{*}(t) = n^{2}[J_{L}\ddot{\theta}_{m} + f_{L}\dot{\theta}_{m}(t)] \qquad (5.3.12)$$

$$\therefore \tau(t) = \tau_{m}(t) + \tau_{L}^{*}(t) = \tau_{m}(t) + n^{2}(J_{L}\ddot{\theta}_{m} + f_{L}\dot{\theta}_{m})$$

$$= (J_{m} + n^{2}J_{L})\ddot{\theta}_{m}(t) + (f_{m} + n^{2}f_{L})\dot{\theta}_{m}(t)$$

$$\therefore \tau(t) = J_{eff}\ddot{\theta}_{m}(t) + f_{eff}\dot{\theta}_{m}(t) \qquad (5.3.13)$$

where,
$$J_{eff} = J_m(t) + n^2 J_L$$
, $f_{eff} = f_m + n^2 J_L$
Chapter 5B. Control 23/53



Input torque to the motor

$$\tau(t) = K_a i_a(t)$$

 K_a is known as the motortorque proportional constant

By Kirchhoff's law

$$V_{a}(t) = R_{a} i_{a}(t) + L_{a} \frac{d i_{a}(t)}{dt} + e_{b}$$
$$e_{b}(t) = K_{b} \dot{\theta}_{m}(t) \qquad K_{b} [V \bullet s / rad]$$

where e_b is the back electromotive force(emf). Taking the Laplace transform, $I_a(s)$ is derived as

$$I_a(s) = \frac{V_a(s) - sK_b\theta_m(s)}{R_a + sL_a}$$

From torque euation

$$T(s) = s^{2} J_{eff} \theta_{m}(s) + s f_{eff} \theta_{m}(s) = (s^{2} J_{eff} + s f_{eff}) \theta_{m}(s) = K_{a} I_{a}(s) \quad (5.3.18)$$



Chapter 5B. Control 24/53



$$T(s) = K_a I_a(s) = K_a \left[\frac{V_a(s) - sK_b \theta_m(s)}{R_a + sL_a}\right] = (s^2 J_{eff} + sf_{eff}) \theta_m(s)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\theta_m(s)}{V_a(s)} = \frac{K_a}{s[s^2 J_{eff} L_a + (L_a f_{eff} + R_a J_{eff})s + R_a f_{eff} + K_a K_b]}$$
(5.3-20)
if $L_a \approx 0$

$$\therefore \frac{\theta_m(s)}{V_a(s)} = \frac{K_a}{s[s^2 L_a R_b + R_b f_a + K_b K_b]} = \frac{K_b}{s(T_a s + 1)}$$

$$\therefore \frac{d}{V_a(s)} = \frac{d}{s[sJ_{eff}R_a + R_af_{eff} + K_aK_b]} = \frac{1}{s(T_ms+1)}$$

where, $K = \frac{K_a}{R_a f_{eff} + K_a K_b}$: motor gain constant $T_m = \frac{R_a J_{eff}}{R_a f_{eff} + K_a K_h} : \text{ motor time constant}$

Transfer function from input $V_a(s)$ to output θ_L , where $\theta_m(s) = n\theta_2(s)$

$$\frac{\theta_L(s)}{V_a(s)} = \frac{n\theta_m(s)}{V_a(s)} = \frac{nK}{s(T_m s + 1)} = \frac{nK_a}{s[sR_a J_{eff} + R_a f_{eff} + K_a K_b]}$$
(5.3-22)

Chapter 5B. Control 25/53







- 5.3.2 Positional Controller for a Single Joint
- Position feedback control

$$V_{a}(t) = \frac{K_{p}e(t)}{n}, \qquad e(t) = \theta_{L}^{d}(t) - \theta_{L}(t)$$
$$= \frac{K_{p}(\theta_{L}^{d}(t) - \theta_{L}(t))}{n}$$





$$L_{a} \approx 0$$

$$\frac{\theta_{L}(s)}{E(s)} = G(s) = \frac{K_{a}K_{p}}{s[sR_{a}J_{eff} + R_{a}f_{eff} + K_{a}K_{b}]}$$

$$\frac{\theta_{L}(s)}{\theta_{L}^{d}(s)} = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)} = \frac{K_{a}K_{p} / R_{a}J_{eff}}{s^{2} + [(R_{a}J_{eff} + K_{a}K_{b}) / R_{a}J_{eff}]s + K_{a}K_{p} / R_{a}J_{eff}}$$

$$E(s) = \theta_{L}(s) = \theta_{L}(s) = \theta_{L}(s) = \theta_{L}(s) = \theta_{L}(s)$$

$$E(s) = \theta_L^d(s) - \theta_L(s) = \theta_L^d(s) \left(1 - \frac{\theta_L(s)}{\theta_L^d(s)}\right) = \frac{1}{1 + G(s)} \theta_L^d(s)$$

- In order to increase the system response and reduce the steady-state error, the positional feedbadk,gain should be increased.
- =>Overshoot and chattering or vibration problem effects to a control performance badly



We can modulate some damping into the system by adding a derivative of the position error — decreasing overshoot

The angular velocity of the joint can be measured by a tachometer or approximated from position data between two consecutive sampling periods.

The applied control voltage is given by





$$V_{a}(s) = \frac{\left(K_{p} + K_{v}s\right)E(s)}{n}$$

$$\frac{\theta_{L}(s)}{E(s)} = G_{PD}(s) = \frac{K_{a}K_{v}s + K_{a}K_{p}}{s(sR_{a}J_{eff} + R_{a}f_{eff} + K_{a}K_{b})}$$

$$\frac{\theta_{L}(s)}{\theta_{L}^{d}(s)} = \frac{G_{PD}(s)}{1 + G_{PD}(s)} = \frac{K_{a}K_{v}s + K_{a}K_{p}}{s^{2}R_{a}J_{eff} + s(R_{a}J_{eff} + K_{a}K_{b} + K_{a}K_{v}) + K_{a}K_{p}}$$
(5.3-29)

Finite zero:
$$s = -\frac{K_v}{K_p}$$

T 7

Depending on the location of this zero, the system could have large overshoot and a long settling time.



Because of disturbance, the torque generated at the motor shaft has to compensate for the torques dissipated by the motor, the load, and also the disturbances.

In case of adding disturbance D(s), from Eq. (5.3.18) the torque equation is derived as

$$T(s) = (s^2 J_{eff} + s f_{eff}) \theta_m(s) + D(s)$$





$$\frac{\theta_L(s)}{D(s)}\Big|_{\theta_L^d(s)=0} = \frac{-nR_a}{s^2R_aJ_{eff} + s(R_af_{eff} + K_aK_b + K_aK_v) + K_aK_p}$$

Using the superposition principle

$$\theta_L(s) = \frac{K_a(K_p + sK_v)\theta_L^d(s) - nR_aD(s)}{s^2R_aJ_{eff} + s(R_af_{eff} + K_aK_b + K_aK_v) + K_aK_p}$$



$\theta_L(s) = \frac{K_a(K_p + sK_v)]\theta_L^d(s) - nR_aD(s)}{s^2 R_a J_{eff} + s(R_a J_{eff} + K_a K_b + K_a K_v) + K_a K_n}$ (5.3 - 32) $E(s) = \theta_I^d(s) - \theta_I(s)$ $=\frac{[s^{2}J_{eff}R_{a} + s(R_{a}J_{eff} + K_{a}K_{b})]\theta_{L}^{d}(s) + nR_{a}D(s)}{s^{2}R_{a}J_{eff} + s(R_{a}J_{eff} + K_{a}K_{b} + K_{a}K_{v}) + K_{a}K_{n}}$ (5.3 - 47)i) In case of step input $\theta_I^d(t) = A$ $e_{ss}(stop) = \lim_{s \to 0} sE(s)$ $=\lim_{s \to 0} s \frac{[s^2 J_{eff} R_a + s(R_a J_{eff} + K_a K_b)]A / s + nR_a D(s)}{s^2 R_a J_{eff} + s(R_a J_{eff} + K_a K_b + K_a K_v) + K_a K_v}$ $=\lim_{s\to 0} s \left| \frac{nR_a D(s)}{s^2 R_a J_{eff} + s(R_a J_{eff} + K_a K_b + K_a K_v) + K_a K_p} \right|$ (5.3-48)

which is a function of the disturbance D(s)



If we can identify each of these torques separately the disturbance is written by

$$\tau_{D}(t) = \tau_{G}(t) + \tau_{C}(t) + \tau_{e}$$
(5.3.-49)

where $\tau_G(t)$ and $\tau_C(t)$ are torques due to gravity centrifugal effects of the life, and is disturbances which can be assumed to be a very small constant value.

The Lapalce transform of Eq.(5.3-49),

$$D(s) = T_G(s) + T_C(s) + \frac{T_e}{s}$$
(5.3-50)





Let us denote the computed torques as $\tau_{comp}(t)$ whose Laplace transform is $T_{comp}(t)$.

With this computed torque and using Eq. (5.3-50), the error equation of (5.3-47) is modified to

$$E(s) = \frac{[s^2 J_{eff} R_a + s(R_a J_{eff} + K_a K_b)] \theta_L^d(s) + nR_a [T_g(s) + T_C(s) + T_e / s - T_{comp}(s)]}{s^2 R_a J_{eff} + s(R_a J_{eff} + K_a K_b + K_a K_v) + K_a K_p}$$
(5.3-51)

For a step input
$$\theta_L^d(s) = \frac{a}{s}$$
, the steady state position error is given by
 $e_{ssp} = \lim_{s \to 0} s \frac{nR_a[T_G(s) + T_C(s) + T_e / s - T_{comp}(s)]}{s^2 R_a J_{eff} + s(R_a J_{eff} + K_a K_b + K_a K_v) + K_a K_p}$
(5.3-52)



If the disturbance due to the centrifugal effect is zero as time approaches infinity, $\dot{\theta}_L(\infty) \approx 0$ because the centrifugal force is a function of $\dot{\theta}_L^2$

If the computed torque $\tau_{comp}(t)$ is equivalent to the gravity loading of the link, then the steady-state position error reduces to

$$e_{ssp} = \frac{nR_a T_e}{K_a K_p} = \frac{nR_a T_e 4K_a}{K_a \omega_0^2 J_0 R_a} = \frac{4nT_e}{\omega_0^2 J_0}$$
$$\therefore 0 < K_p \le \frac{\omega_r^2 J_{eff} R_a}{4K_a} \approx \frac{\omega_0^2 J_0 R_a}{4K_a}$$
where, $\tau_{comp}(t) = \tau_G(t)$



$$ii)Ramp input \theta_L^d = \frac{A}{s^2}$$

$$e_{ss}(ramp) = \lim_{s \to 0} sE(s)$$

$$= \frac{(R_a f_{eff} + K_a K_b)A}{K_a K_p} +$$

$$\lim_{s \to 0} s \frac{nR_a [T_G + T_C + T_e / s - T_{comp}]}{s^2 R_a J_{eff} + s(R_a J_{eff} + K_a K_b + K_a K_v) + K_a K_p}$$

$$= \frac{(R_a f_{eff} + K_a K_b)A}{K_a K_p} + e_{ssp}$$



The computation of τ_{comp} depends on the dynamic model of the manipulator

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{i}(t) &= \sum_{k=i}^{6} \sum_{j=1}^{k} T_{r} \left[\frac{\partial^{0} T_{k}}{\partial q_{j}} J_{k} \left[\frac{\partial^{0} T_{k}}{\partial q_{i}} \right]^{T} \right] \ddot{q}_{j}(t) \\ &+ \sum_{r=i}^{6} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{r} T_{r} \left[\frac{\partial^{20} T_{r}}{\partial q_{j} \partial q_{k}} J_{r} \left[\frac{\partial^{0} T_{r}}{\partial q_{i}} \right]^{T} \right] \dot{q}_{j}(t) \dot{q}_{k}(t) \\ &- \sum_{j=i}^{6} m_{j} g \left[\frac{\partial^{0} T_{j}}{\partial q_{j}} \right]^{-} r_{j}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., 6 \end{aligned}$$



5.3.3 Performance and Stability Criteria

$$\frac{K_a K_v s + K_a K_p}{s^2 R_a J_{eff} + s(R_a J_{eff} + K_a K_b + K_a K_v) + K_a K_p}$$

The characteristic equation of a 2nd order system

$$s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2 = 0$$

where ζ and ω_n are the damping ratio and the undamped natural frequency of the system, respectively. Relating the closed loop poles of Eq. (5.3-29) to Eq. (5.3-33)

$$\omega_n^2 = \frac{K_a K_p}{J_{eff} R_a}$$

$$2\zeta \omega_n = \frac{R_a J_{eff} + K_a K_b + K_a K_v}{J_{eff} R_a}$$

$$(5.3 - 34)$$

$$(5.4 - 35)$$

In order to have good performance, we may select a critically damped or an overdamped system. That is, $\,\zeta\geq 1\,$

From Eq. (5.3-34), the position feedback gain is derived as

$$K_{p} = \frac{J_{eff} R_{a} \omega_{n}^{2}}{K_{a}} > 0$$
(5.3-36)

Chapter 5B. Control 40/53



Substituting ω_n from Eq. (5.3-34) into Eq. (5.3-35)

$$\zeta = \frac{R_a f_{eff} + K_a K_b + K_a K_v}{2\sqrt{K_a K_p J_{eff} R_a}} \ge 1$$
(5.3-37)

From (5.3-37), the velocity feedback gain K_{v} can be found to be

$$K_{v} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{K_{a}K_{p}J_{eff}R_{a}} - R_{a}f_{eff} - K_{a}K_{b}}{K_{a}}$$
(5.3-38)

In order not to excite the structural oscillation and resonance of the joint, the undamped natural frequency ω_n may be set to no more than one-half of the structural resonance frequency ω_r of the joint [R.P.Paul(1981)]

$$\omega_n \le 0.5\omega_r \tag{5.3-39}$$



If the effective stiffness of the joint is K_{stiff} , then the restoring torque $K_{stiff}\theta_m(t)$ opposes the inertial torque of the motor,

$$J_{eff} \ddot{\theta}_m(t) + K_{stiff} \theta_m(t) = 0 \qquad (5.3-40)$$
$$LT \Longrightarrow J_{eff} s^2 + K_{stiff} = 0 \qquad (5.3-41)$$

Solving the above characteristic equation gives the structural resonant frequency of the system

$$\omega_r = \left[\frac{K_{stiff}}{J_{eff}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(5.3-42)$$

Chapter 5B. Control 42/53



If a load is added to the manipulator's end-effector, the effective moment of inertia will increase which, in effect, reduces the structural resonant frequency ω_r .

If a structural resonant frequency ω_0 is measured at a known moment of inertia J_0 , then the structural resonant frequency at the other moment of inertia J_{eff} is given by



(5.3-39)



Using the condition of Eq.(5.3-39) and Eq.(5.3-43), from Eq.(5.3-36) is bounded by

$$\therefore 0 < K_p \le \frac{\omega_r^2 J_{eff} R_a}{4K_a} \approx \frac{\omega_0^2 J_0 R_a}{4K_a}$$
(5.3-45)

After finding k_{p} , the velocity feedback gain $% k_{p}$ can be found from Eq.(5.3-38)

$$K_{v} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{K_{a}} \frac{\omega_{0}^{2} J_{0} R_{a}}{4K_{a}} J_{eff} R_{a} - R_{a} J_{eff} - K_{a} K_{b}}{K_{a}}$$
$$= \frac{R_{a} \omega_{0} \sqrt{J_{0} J_{eff}} - R_{a} J_{eff} - K_{a} K_{b}}{K_{a}}$$
(5.3-46)



5.3.4 Controller for Multi-joint Robots

- For a manipulator with multiple joints, one of the basic schemes is the computed torque based on L-E equations which is a feedforward control and has feedforward and feedback componets.
- Feed forward control components compensate for the interaction forces among all the various joints.
- Feedback control computes the necessary correction torques to compensates for any deviation from the desired trajectory.
- The structure of the control law to supply to the motor

$$\tau(t) = D_a(q) \left\{ \ddot{q}^d(t) + K_v[\dot{q}^d(t) - \dot{q}(t)] + K_p[q^d(t) - q(t)] \right\} + h_a(q, \dot{q}) + C_a(q)$$

(5.3 - 65)

Chapter 5B. Control 45/53



Substituting $\tau(t)$ from Eq.(5.3-65) into $\tau(t) = D(q(t))\ddot{q}(t) + h(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) + C(q(t))$ $D(q)\ddot{q} + h(q, \dot{q}) + C(q) =$ $D_a(q) \{ \ddot{q}^d + K_v[\dot{q}^d - \dot{q}] + K_p[q^d - q] \} + h_a(q, \dot{q}) + C_a(q) \quad (5.3-66)$

If
$$D_a(q) \approx D(q), \ C_a(q) \approx C(q), h_a(q,\dot{q}) \approx h(q,\dot{q})$$

$$D(q)[\ddot{e} + K_v \dot{e} + K_p e] = 0 \qquad (5.3-67)$$

where,
$$e = q^d - q$$
, $\dot{e} = \dot{q}^d - \dot{q}$

Since D(q) is always nonsingular, K_p and K_v can be chosen appropriately so the characteristic roots of Eq. (5.3-67) have negative real parts, then the position error vector e(t) approaches zero asymptotically.



Pusan National University School of Mechanical Engineering ROBOT DYNAMICS AND CONTROL SIMULATION

Task Space Control

•Joint space control \rightarrow Tracking the trajectory of end-effector by control the trajectory of joint indirectly

•Task space control \rightarrow Tracking directly the trajectory of end-effector by feedback control error of task space (end-effector)



COLLISION-FREE PATH PLANNING

It would be extremely convenient if we could simply tell the robot system what the desired goal point of the manipulator motion is, and let the system determine where and how many via points are required so that the goal is reached without the manipulator's hitting any obstacles. In order to do this, the system must have models of the manipulator, the work area, and all potential obstacles in the area.

Systems that plan collision-free paths are not available commercially.



COLLISION-FREE PATH PLANNING

Research in this area has led to two competing techniques, and several variations and combinations thereof.

One approach solves the problem by forming a connected-graph representation of the free space and then searching the graph for a collision-free path.

A second approach is based on creating artificial potential fields around obstacles, which cause the manipulator(s) to avoid the obstacles while they are drawn toward an artificial attractive pole at the goal point.



Video Clips on Trajectory Planning



<Intelligent Robot Manipulator >

Chapter 5B. Control 50/53



Video Clips on Relative Impedance Control



<Relative Impedance Control>

Chapter 5B. Control 51/53



Video Clips on Trajectory Planning



<Robot dynamics and control with MSC ADMAS and Matlab>



Parallel kinematic industrial robot



<Parallel kinematic industrial robot, Delta Robot>

Chapter 5B. Control 53/53